

304 Kemper Street Timmonsville, SC 29161

**Grades** 9-12 High School **Enrollment** 270 Students

PrincipalDr. Dorothy McClam843-346-4586SuperintendentDr. Bertha W. McCants843-346-5391Board ChairMrs. Rose Bacot843-346-5391

# 2010 REPORT CARD

#### RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YFAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2010 At-Risk At-Risk 2009 Below Average At-Risk 2008 Good Average 2007 Average Excellent

Average

## **DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS**

At-Risk

2006

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

# SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*								
Excellent	Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk							
0	2	13	5	13				

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/24/2011.

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students									
	Our	· High Scl	hool	High Schools with Students Like Our					
Percent	2008	2009	2010	2008	2009	2010			
Passed 2 subtests (%)	73.3%	66.7%	52.0%	68.1%	58.9%	60.7%			
Passed 1 subtest (%)	13.3%	21.7%	18.7%	16.4%	20.1%	19.2%			
Passed no subtests (%)	13.3%	11.6%	29.3%	15.5%	23.3%	22.4%			

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2010		
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	82.2%	83.3%

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate									
	Our Higl	h School	High Schools with Students Like Ours						
	2009*	2010	2009*	2010					
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	60	51	140	137					
Number of Graduates in Cohort	45	42	94	87					
Rate	75.0%	82.4%	63.7%	62.7%					

<sup>\*</sup>Used to calculate current AYP.

End of Course Tests										
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*								
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	63.9%	57.9%								
English 1	44.8%	49.2%								
Physical Science	44.3%	34.1%								
US History and the Constitution	22.0%	25.1%								
All Tests	44.0%	40.6%								

<sup>\*</sup> High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

TIMMONSVILLE HIGH 03/24/11-2104041

# School Profile

OCHOOF FORME	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n=270)				
Retention rate	4.6%	Up from 3.8%	5.6%	3.7%
Attendance rate	97.3%	Down from 99.4%	94.3%	95.4%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No Change	3.2%	12.4%
With disabilities other than speech	7.9%	Down from 16.3%	14.5%	12.8%
Older than usual for grade	10.4%	Down from 11.3%	14.8%	9.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.4%	No Change	2.0%	1.1%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	No Change	6.1%	13.1%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	38.1%	50.4%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	19.0%	Down from 30.8%	26.0%	30.4%
Annual dropout rate	3.6%	Down from 4.2%	2.5%	3.1%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	11.1%	Down from 11.6%	3.4%	2.2%
Enrollment in career/technology courses	77	Down from 157	261	424
Students participating in work-based experiences	0.0%	Down from 11.6%	8.0%	11.7%
Career/technology students attaining technical skills	87.0%	Up from 85.3%	75.4%	78.7%
Career/technology completers placed	92.2%	Down from 93.9%	96.4%	98.5%
Teachers (n=22)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	36.4%	Down from 47.6%	54.1%	60.4%
Continuing contract teachers	50.0%	Down from 66.7%	58.8%	76.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	31.6%	Up from 21.1%	19.2%	6.5%
Teachers returning from previous year	69.1%	Down from 71.2%	78.2%	86.8%
Teacher attendance rate	95.5%	No Change	96.2%	95.8%
Average teacher salary*	\$45,153	Down 8.0%	\$44,664	\$47,390
Professional development days/teacher	12.6 days	Up from 10.3 days	10.8 days	10.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	23.2 to 1	Up from 21.6 to 1	21.8 to 1	25.8 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.1%	Down from 91.2%	89.2%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$9,654	Down 1.2%	\$10,292	\$7,974
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	46.7%	Down from 57.8%	52.4%	55.4%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	63.2%	Down from 63.4%	59.0%	60.4%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Excellent
SACS accreditation	No	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	98.8%	Up from 90.8%	97.6%	96.0%
Character development program	Good	No Change	Good	Good
Modern language program assessment	N/A	N/A	Average	Average
Classical language program assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	Average

Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

# Performance By Student Groups

		age Rate by g 2010		urse Tests ge Rate	On-time Graduation Rate, 2		Rate, 2010
	n	%	t	%	n	%	Met AYP Objective
All Students	45	82.2%	257	44.0%	51	82.4%	No
Gender							
Male	18	77.8%	133	42.9%	22	77.3%	N/A
Female	27	85.2%	124	45.2%	29	86.2%	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	N/A	N/A	19	57.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	37	83.8%	232	41.4%	42	85.7%	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	32	78.1%	236	41.1%	37	78.4%	N/A

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

TIMMONSVILLE HIGH 03/24/11-2104041

# Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Our vision for Timmonsville High School is building character and impacting student achievement in a caring school community. During the 2009-2010 school year, the faculty and students of Timmonsville High School continued to make great strides toward achieving our vision. Our faculty continued to participate in as well as to present outstanding staff development sessions. With the addition of school uniforms, all schools in Florence County School District 4 have successfully implemented a uniform dress policy for our students. Students learned and demonstrated positive character traits through our monthly character emphasis and character incentive program. Newly implemented focus classes provided additional opportunities for character development as well as opportunities to enhance mastery of skills needed for success on EOCEP and HSAP. Our Saturday Academy also offered learning experiences and opportunities to practice test-taking skills. Quarterly recognition programs emphasized the achievements of students in academics and attendance, while our monthly incentive program recognized students in the area of conduct.

In Timmonsville High School's fifth successful year of Jobs for South Carolina Graduates (JSCG), students in the program achieved 100% graduation as members of the Class of 2010. This year, six of the JSCG students were honor graduates among whom were the valedictorian, salutatorian, and third honor graduate.

Our JROTC program continues to provide opportunities for students to develop positive character traits and leadership skills. Cadets attended and successfully completed the JROTC Cadet Leadership Challenge (JCLC) at Fort Jackson, South Carolina with one cadet receiving top honors during the program's graduation ceremony.

Students continued to be successful in the area of athletics. Our Whirlwinds student athletes have been named to the Region VII teams in football and basketball. In baseball, the Whirlwinds were a District Runner Up. Our men's track team finished second in the region and our women's track team finished third in the region with one student achieving a fourth state championship in the women's 100 meter dash. Additionally, Timmonsville High School's athletic director was named the Region VII Athletic Director of the Year.

We are proud of the students, faculty, and staff of Timmonsville High School. With continued commitment and support, we will achieve our vision as we provide the best educational experiences for the students we serve.

Dorothy McClam, Ed.D., Principal

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	20	44	23
Percent satisfied with learning environment	65.0%	43.2%	59.1%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	75.0%	47.7%	73.9%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	60.0%	67.4%	72.7%

<sup>\*</sup> Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

## No Child Left Behind

### School Adequate Yearly Progress NO

This school met 6 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

#### CSI School Improvement Status

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality Data		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	30.7%	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	61.5%	0.0%	No

HSAP Performance B	y Grou	р									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	petse1 %	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Langua	ge Arts	- State	Perfor	mance	Object	ive = 7	1.3% (F	roficie	nt or Ac	lvance	d)
All Students	79	94.9	45.2	37	12.3	5.5	28.8	28.8	65.9	No	Yes
Male	40	92.5	57.1	31.4	5.7	5.7	20	20	60.8	N/A	N/A
Female	39	97.4	34.2	42.1	18.4	5.3	36.8	36.8	71	N/A	N/A
White	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	77.5	I/S	I/S
African American	71	97.2	45.6	38.2	13.2	2.9	27.9	27.9	49.7	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	80.2	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	56.8	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	65.9	I/S	I/S
Disabled	12	75	88.9	11.1	0	0	11.1	I/S	21.3	I/S	I/S
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	47.3	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	72	94.4	48.5	34.8	13.6	3	28.8	28.8	51.5	No	Yes
Mathemati	cs - Sta	ite Perf	ormano	ce Obje	ctive =	70.0%	(Profic	ient or a	Advanc	ed)	
All Students	79	94.9	34.2	41.1	17.8	6.8	39.7	39.7	62.3	No	Yes
Male	40	92.5	34.3	37.1	25.7	2.9	42.9	42.9	61.7	N/A	N/A
Female	39	97.4	34.2	44.7	10.5	10.5	36.8	36.8	63	N/A	N/A
White	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	75	I/S	I/S
African American	71	97.2	33.8	44.1	17.6	4.4	38.2	38.2	44	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	85.5	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	56.7	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	62.5	I/S	I/S
Disabled	12	75	66.7	33.3	0	0	11.1	I/S	22.1	I/S	I/S
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	52.6	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	72	94.4	34.8	43.9	15.2	6.1	37.9	37.9	48.1	No	Yes
D			/E I		_	,			`		
	ysical S		,		se Test						
All Students	79	96.2	86.8	7.9	3.9	1.3	5.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	40	95.0	81.6	7.9	7.9	2.6	10.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	39	97.4	92.1	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	71	98.6	90.0	8.6	1.4	0.0	1.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A American Indian/Alaskan I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S N/A N/A N/A N/A Disabled 12 83.3 N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/A N/A N/A N/A Migrant N/A I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S N/A N/A N/A N/A Limited English Proficient N/A I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S N/A N/A N/A N/A Subsidized meals 72 97.2 87.1 8.6 2.9 1.4 4.3 N/A N/A N/A N/A

TIMMONSVILLE HIGH

<sup>\*</sup> Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data										
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*
English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 71.3% (Proficient or Advanced)										
All Students	2009	69	98.6	20	47.7	21.5	10.8	44.6	44.8	61.8
	2010	79	94.9	45.2	37	12.3	5.5	28.8	28.8	65.9
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 70.0% (Proficient or Advanced)										
All Ctudente	2009	69	100	23.1	36.9	21.5	18.5	58.5	58.2	62.7

6.8

All Students

<sup>\*</sup> Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.